Rosettes and Other Arrangements of Circles

The process of design in art and architecture generally involves the combination and manipulation of a relatively small number of geometric elements to create both the underlying structures as well as the overlaid decorative details. In this paper we concentrate on patterns created by copies of just a single geometric form — the circle. The circle is an extremely significant shape. By virtue of its simplicity and its topology it has been highly esteemed by many different cultures for millennia, symbolising God, unity, perfection, eternity, stability, etc.

Introduction

The process of design in art and architecture generally involves the combination and manipulation of a relatively small number of geometric elements to create both the underlying structures as well as the overlaid decorative details. In this paper we concentrate on patterns created by copies of just a single geometric form — the circle. The circle is an extremely significant shape. By virtue of its simplicity and its topology it has been highly esteemed by many different cultures for millennia, symbolising God, unity, perfection, eternity, stability, etc. For instance, Ralph Waldo Emerson considered the circle to be “the highest emblem in the cipher of the world” [Emerson 1920].

Circular rosettes

Circular rosettes have a long history, going back at least 6000 years, and were popular for instance in the Egyptian, Babylonian, Assyrian, and Greek cultures [Goodyear 1891]. Examples of some of the attractive arrangements of intersecting circles at the Temple of Osiris (e.g. the so-called Flower and Seed of Life) are given in Rawles comprehensive book [Rawles 1997]. Later, the rosette was a popular decorative pattern for floors in Roman architecture [Schmelzeisen 1992]. A more modern phenomena are the increased sightings of crop circles. Disregarding the issue of the authenticity of their extraterrestrial origin, it is worth noting that many involve intersecting circles forming rosette-like patterns. In fact, circular rosettes appear in many unexpected areas, from manholes [Melnick 1994] to Leonardo da Vinci’s knot patterns to clock faces to garden hedges (Figure 1).

A circular rosette is formed by taking copies of a circle and rotating them about a point — the rosette’s centre (Figure 2).

If the radius $r$ of the circle is equal to the distance $d$ between the point of rotation and the circle centres then the circles all meet at the centre of the rosette (Figure 3a). If the circle radii are smaller than $d$ then they do not reach the rosette centre, creating a hole (Figure 3b).

Likewise, an apparent hole is created when the circle radii are greater than $d$, although in this case the rosette centre is contained in all the circles. We see also that as the
Figure 1. Circular rosettes.

Figure 2. Two examples of circular rosettes.

Figure 3. Circular rosettes made up from 30 circles with radii such that (a) $r = d$, (b) $r < d$, (c) $r > d$. 
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number of circles used to generate the rosettes increases the envelope of the external perimeter of the rosette converges to a circle with radius \( r + d \) while the envelope of the inner circle is a circle with radius \( d \). Thus, we have the curious aspect that the same sized inner circular hole is created for a fixed value \( v \), irrespective of whether \( r = d + v \) or \( r = d - v \).

To simplify matters we shall only consider the first case in which the circles meet at the rosette centre. The points of intersection between the circles is given by

\[
(x_i(n), y_i(n)) = r \left( 1 + \cos nt, \frac{1}{2} \sin nt + \frac{1 + \cos nt}{\sin nt} \frac{\sin nt}{2} \right)
\]

where \( t \) is the angle increment between successive circles, i.e. if \( N \) number of circles then \( t = \frac{2\pi}{N} \), and \( n \) is the intersection level such that 1 indicates the intersection closest to the rosette’s periphery, 2 the next level closer in to the rosette’s centre, and so on. This enables us to verify some properties that suggest themselves on visual inspection of the rosettes. First, with the exception of the innermost and outermost regions of the rosette the interstices formed by the overlapping circles form a sort of curvilinear rhombus (see Figure 9 below). Not only do all the sides of these interstices have equal length, but all the interstices have equal length sides. Second, there are \( N/2 \) rings of interstices. Their aspect ratio is 1:1 in the central ring, while moving out from this ring on either side the aspect ratio symmetrically increases. That is, corresponding interstices on either side of the middle ring have identical aspect ratios, but are rotated by 90°. In contrast, the interstices of rosettes constructed from logarithmic spirals maintain the same shape (and aspect ratio), but only increase in size as they radiate out from the rosette centre [Williams 1999]. As more circles are included to make up the rosette, more interstices are naturally created. Not only that, but the rate of change of their aspect ratios also changes. The interstices from rosettes with very few circles have aspect ratios fairly evenly distributed in the range \([0,1.5]\), whereas for rosettes containing many circles the majority of the interstices are close to 1:1. This is verified in the graphs in Figure 4, which show the ratios of the aspect ratios of each ring with its inwards neighbouring ring.

![Figure 4](image_url)  
**Figure 4.** Graphs showing the variation in the rate of increase and decrease in interstice aspect ratios as they progress across the rosette.
Due to their perspective-like shrinking, the outer half of the rosette’s rings give the visual impression that there is a three-dimensional spherical surface curving away from the viewer. To avoid this and ensure that the rosette gives the impression of a starburst-like radiating effect, many instances of circular rosette’s designed for pavements use only the inner rings, chopping off any beyond the middle one.

**Five disks problem**

Since we have determined the intersection points of the circles it is now easy to tackle the geometric Five Disks Problem [Weisstein 1998] which is set as follows (Figure 5).

Given five equally sized disks placed symmetrically about a given centre what is the smallest radius of disk that ensures that the radius of the circular area covered by the disks equals one? All that needs to be done is solve $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ with respect to $r$ for the given number of circles $N=5$, resulting in the solution $r = \frac{1}{\pi}$.

We can also determine the solution for other values of $N$, and for instance find that with four circles: $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, and for six circles: $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$.

![Figure 5. Five disks problem. The radius of the shaded circle should equal one.](image)

![Figure 6. Hypotrochoids which closely resemble circular rosettes.](image)

**Hypotrochoids**

It is interesting to compare the rosette to the analytic curve called the hypotrochoid, which is generated by tracing out a fixed point on a circle that is rolling inside another fixed circle. The simplified form given by the parametric equations

\[
\begin{align*}
  x(t) &= \cos t + \cos(q-1) \\
  y(t) &= -\sin t + \sin(q-1)
\end{align*}
\]

produces patterns very similar to circular rosettes as shown in Figure 6. The parameter $q$
The number of lobes can be modified to increase and decrease the fullness of the lobes.

One can speculate that Albrecht Dürer may also have noticed the similarity. In addition to his artistic work, he realised that mathematics could provide a powerful tool for the artist, and was interested in the connections between art and mathematics. This lead to his becoming an important Renaissance mathematician (at least in terms of early dissemination of geometry rather than an extension of the field). In his book *Unterweisung der Messung mit dem Zirkel und Richtscheit* he describes not only a method for designing a circular rosette floor pattern but also the construction of a large number of curves including an epicycloid (the cardioid) [Dürer 1977].

The hypotrochoid is not the only curve with similar appearance to the rosette. In fact, in 1728 Guido Grande published *Flores Geometrici*, which described a host of curves producing flower-like patterns.

**Variations on the form**

Starting from the basic circular rosette there are many variations we can make in its construction. For instance, the rotating circles can be replaced by other forms such as the ellipses shown in Figure 7a. If the elongation of the ellipses is sufficient then the interstices exhibit behaviour somewhere in between the circular and spiral rosettes. For instance, in the example shown, the rhombus-like interstices become more compact as they move out from the rosette centre. In comparison with the circular rosette the variation in aspect ratio is slower. Moreover, the aspect ratio does not reach 1:1, and so does not contain the symmetric rings of interstices with identical aspect ratios on either side of a middle ring. The rate of change in interstice aspect ratio is dependent on the number of ellipses that make up the rosette as well as their eccentricity. Therefore, if a series of rosettes is generated in which the ellipses’ eccentricity is gradually reduced to become more circular then the ring of 1:1 interstices appears at the periphery of the rosette and moves inwards towards the rosette’s middle ring.

Figures 7b and 7c show the effect of stretching the ellipse the other way so that it extends across the rosette rather than projecting outwards from the centre. When sufficient ellipses are included a fretwork pattern is generated.

![Figures 7](image-url)
which a variety of shapes of interstices appear. Also, a circular ring is formed at the
centre with radius equal to the ellipses’ minor axis length. In Figures 7d and 7e, the
generating circles have been replaced by superellipses [Gardner 1965] (actually
supercircles since their aspect ratio is one). Due to the mixture of gently curving sides
and sharper corners a more dynamic nature is created as the interstices seem to whorl
into the centre from which several lotus shapes appear to emerge.

If the circular rosette is uniformly stretched in any direction this results in a rosette with
an overall elliptical form (Figure 8a).\textsuperscript{1} The rotating circles become ellipses — although
varying in eccentricity unlike the identical set of ellipses making up the previous example.

Another variation on the theme of ellipses is given in the pavement in the Campidoglio
which was designed by Michaelangelo (although not executed until 1940). The final
version shows a more subtle construction than the above uniform stretching. The inner
ring is a circle and successive rings are increasingly stretched so as to provide a gradual
transition to the outer ellipse.

Other patterns can be constructed in a similar way to the circular rosette, but modifying
the positions of the circles and/or their size. For instance, the nephroid and cardioid in
Figures 8b and 8c retain the same positions for the circles as the rosette but their radii are
a function of position.

\textbf{Figure 8}. Further variations of the circular rosette.

\textbf{Variations in articulation}

Again starting from the basic circular rosette the outline can be further articulated by
various graphical means [Williams 1997]. The pavement of the Biblioteca Laurenziana,
also designed by Michaelangelo, refines the basic rosette in two ways. It is created from
the combination of two rosettes, the second slightly rotated with respect to the first
[Nicholson and Kappraff, 1998; Kappraff 1999]. In addition, ellipses have been drawn
inside the interstices.

Even simplifying the pattern to a single rosette with infinitely thin boundaries, and butting
the ellipses right up to the circles still results in a difficult geometric pattern to analyse.
The principal problem involves the ellipses since determining inscribed ellipses is not
straightforward.

To simplify analysis we approximate the curvilinear rhombus by a standard straight-
sided rhombus (Figure 9). We can then follow the laborious procedure known to
draughtsmen for determining the inscribed ellipse to a parallelogram [Browning 1996].\textsuperscript{2}
In fact, for a rhombus the problem simplifies greatly. The rhombus’s and ellipse’s centres are coincident, and we find that if the lengths of the rhombus’s axes are $a$ and $b$, then the lengths of the ellipse’s axes are $\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{b}{\sqrt{2}}$ and are aligned with the conjugate diameters of the rhombus. Moreover, the ellipse’s points of contact with the rhombus are at the four midpoints of the rhombus’s sides. We note that this is also a degenerate case of the problem, solved by Newton, of inscribing ellipses in a convex quadrilateral [Dörri 1965]. Newton found that the centres of all possible inscribed ellipses lie on the straight line segment joining the midpoints of the diagonals of the quadrilateral. For a rhombus the diagonals’ midpoints are coincident, and so there is a single solution for the position of the ellipse. Nevertheless, there remain multiple solutions for the aspect ratio. The construction we have described maximises the ellipse’s area.

As Figure 10a shows, the error caused by the approximation incurred by the straight-sided rhombus can be significant. The ellipse appears about the right size, but is shifted in towards the centre of the rosette. As the number of circles increases then the arcs making up the rhombuses’ sides shorten, decreasing the total curvature. This means that the approximation error also decreases, and as can be seen in Figure 10b the ellipses fit the interstices much better.

**Figure 10.** a, b) ellipses located at rhombus centres; c, d) ellipses located at rotated corners of rhombuses; e, f) ellipses located at rhombus centres with curvature correction.
A couple of simple corrections were tested to see if there was an easy procedure for inscribing the ellipses more accurately. The first correction is based on two corners of the rhombus that are equidistant from the rosette centre. Our original method effectively takes their average as the centre which is therefore placed closer to the rosette centre than the corners. Since it was seen that this was actually too far in, we considered pushing the ellipses out so that their centres become equidistant with the rhombus’s corners. This was done by taking one of the corner points and rotating it to become aligned with the ray through the centre of the rhombus. Figure 10c demonstrates that the errors have been considerably reduced, although the ellipses are no longer in contact with their neighbours.

A second approach to the correction is to consider the maximum error between the true circle forming the interstice and the straight line approximation. This is

$$r_1 = \sqrt{r^2 - c^2} / 4$$

where $c$ is the length of the sides of the rhombuses. The maximum error occurs at the midpoints of the rhombuses’ sides — exactly where the ellipses are supposed to make contact. Pushing out the rhombus midpoint along the ray from the rosette’s centre by this correction factor produces yet better positioned ellipses. Even with few circles in the rosette the errors are barely visible (Figure 10e). An advantage of this scheme over more sophisticated ones is that since the lengths of the interstices are all equal only a single correction value need be calculated for the complete rosette. This also results in the ellipses maintaining contact with their neighbours.

**Lunes**

Each pair of adjacent circles can be seen to produce a crescent-shaped slice which is called a lune. One interesting aspect of the lune is its involvement with the classic problem of “squaring the circle”. In the fifth century BC the Greek mathematician Hippocrates succeeded in squaring the lune, i.e. he constructed a square equal in area to the lune. Of course, while this was an impressive feat, it did not unfortunately lead him any closer to squaring the circle!

The inscribed ellipses can be considered as being packed into the lunes, and their centres will lie along the middle of the lune—its so-called medial axis. As a simpler problem we consider lunes with inscribed circles as shown in Figure 11.

This configuration is reminiscent of the Gothic tracery in rose windows such as that of the cathedral of Sens [Heilbron 1998]. We determine the medial axis by finding the locus of the centres of the circles that are tangent to both arcs of the lune. In our analysis of the lune its bounding circular arcs are centred at (0,0) and (0,m) and we can show that the medial axis is an ellipse with centre $\left(0, \frac{m}{2}\right)$ and semi-axis lengths $a=r$, $b = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4r^2 - m^2}$. Setting $r=1$ and plotting out the medial axis’s aspect ratio $a/b$ for increasing values of $m$ (in units of $r$), we see that it is generally circle-like except when the lune’s two circles are so widely separated that they barely intersect (Figure 12). As total separation of the circles approaches (i.e. the value of $m$ nears 2$r$), the aspect ratio of the medial axis tends to infinity.
Of course, this degree of separation does not occur for a rosette since the most extreme case occurs when there are only three circles (although there is then no rhombus-like interstice to inscribe the ellipse within). The separation between adjacent circle centres is then $\sqrt{3}r$, which from the graph can be seen to occur just before increased separation of the circles causes large eccentricities. In fact, for the three circle case the aspect ratio of the lunes is exactly 2.

---

**Inscribed circles**

On investigation we find that inscribed circles appear quite regularly, not just as mathematical problems but in a variety of contexts spanning art and science. As previously mentioned, they often occur in Gothic tracery. An extensive example is given by Robert Billings who published in the nineteenth century 100 designs of geometric tracery alongside diagrams of their construction based on four circles touching an outer circle [Billings 1849]. A few years later he continued this theme and published a further 100 designs (see Figure 13), this time based entirely on three inner circles, tangent to each other as well as the outer circle [Billings 1851].

Perhaps the most famous instance of inscribed circles is that of Pappus of Alexandria, who over two thousand years ago described how to inscribe circles into the *arbelos* — a figure shaped like a shoemaker’s knife. More recently, Dürer also took up inscribed circles as a means of dividing up a lens in an “orderly manner”, as shown in Figure 14.
This diagram can be generated in the following manner. Let the two circles forming the lens be centred at with radius \( R \). The inscribed circles lie at with radius \( r_i \):

\[
y_i = \frac{b_i}{2} - \frac{m^2}{2b_i}
\]

\[
r_i = R - \frac{b_i}{2} - \frac{m^2}{2b_i} = y_i - b_i + R
\]

where:

\[
b_i = 2\sum_{j=1}^{i} (-1)^{i+j+1}y_j + R
\]

The equations of the circles inscribed in a lune can also be determined, although the process is more laborious (see the Appendix). This enables us to insert circles in the lunes formed by the intersecting circles making up circular rosettes, as shown in figure 15.

The rosettes contain two sets of lunes; Figure 15a shows the effect of filling just one set. The circles can be seen as lying on the lunes’ radiating arms. Alternatively, moving out along lune from the centre of the rosette the inscribed circles from all the lunes form a circular chain within the rosette. Although the initial circles are small, the radius of the chain is also small. Both increase until the halfway point of the lunes is reached. Thereafter the circles diminish in size while the radius of the chain continues to increase, creating more and more sparse chains. If both sets of lunes are inscribed with circles (Figure 15b) the circles intersect (except at the outermost rings), generating an additional series of lunes.

More practical applications of inscribed circles frequently occur in industrial design, often as a means of providing strength while minimising weight or material. A pair of contrasting examples can be seen in eighteenth century bridge design. In the iron bridge at Sunderland shown in Figure 16a the circles can be thought of as primarily additions to strengthen the structure. On the other hand, the inscribed circles in the masonry bridge at Pontypridd (see Figure 16b) are cylinders punched through the spandrels in order to reduce weight, thus appearing as the removal rather than addition of inscribed circles.

**Conformal mappings**

Following on from the inscribed circles described above it is interesting to note that it is possible to transform a set of inscribed circles into a pattern reminiscent of the rosette with inscribed ellipses that we analysed previously. We shall apply a conformal mapping, i.e. a transformation that preserve local angles. There are many such mappings [Kober 1957], but we shall just consider the anti-Mercator mapping described by Dixon [1991].

It is defined as

\[
(x, y) \rightarrow (e^x \cos y, e^x \sin y)
\]

and its effect is to transform (diagonal) straight lines to equiangular spirals. This enables us to map translational symmetries into rotational symmetries.

Figure 17a shows seven columns of circles which are mapped to the seven concentric rings of ovals in figure 17b. For display purposes the top half of the columns have been
clipped. It should be noted that the ovals are egg-shaped rather than elliptical since they contract as they approach the centre of the figure. The circles in each column lie in the range [0, 2π]; increasing the number of circles increases the radial resolution. Columns of circles can be added on both sides of Figure 17a extending towards infinity, increasing the number of concentric rings in Figure 17b. Scaling the x values, i.e. for a scaling factor s perform \( (x, y) \rightarrow (e^{sx} \cos y, e^{sx} \sin y) \), varies the rate of radial scaling, enabling the ovals to be stretched or squashed by any amount.

Figure 17a also includes interstices that have been added to enclose the circles, and their mapping is included in Figure 17b. To avoid the lines crossing the circles they need to form a lattice of hexagonal elements. The mapped hexagons enclose the ovals, and expand
outwards from the centre of the rosette; their two radial lines remain straight while the remaining four lines become (mildly) curvilinear. To form a pattern reminiscent of the rosette with inscribed ellipses we superimpose a grid of rhombuses through the points of contact between adjacent circles (Figure 17c). After the mapping curvilinear rhombuses are formed except that unlike the true circular rosette they cut slivers off the ovals (Figure 17d). Another noticeable difference is that this rosette behaves like a logarithmic rather than circular spiral rosette with the ovals increasing in size while maintaining the same aspect ratio [Williams 1999].

**Appendix: inscribed circles to a lune**

For a lune made up from circular arcs of radius \( r_0 \) we can determine the parameters of the inscribed circles centred at \((x_n, y_n)\) with radius \( r_n \). The first circle is straightforward: \( x_n=0, y_1=m/2, r_1=m/2 \). Thereafter, each inscribed circle in the sequence is found using three constraints involving tangency with three circles: the two that comprise the lune and the previous adjacent inscribed circle. These can be seen as three Pythagorean triangles which leads to three simultaneous equations, which can be solved to give for the second circle

\[
\begin{align*}
x_2 &= 2r_0 \sqrt{\frac{4r_0^2 y_1^2 - y_1^4}{4r_0^2 + y_1^2}} \\
y_2 &= \frac{8r_0^3 + 4r_0^2 y_1 - 2r_0 y_1^2 + y_1^3}{2(4r_0^2 + y_1^2)} \\
r_2 &= -\frac{(y_1^2 + 4r_0^2 + y_1^2)}{2(4r_0^2 + y_1^2)}
\end{align*}
\]

thereafter we can determine the remainder of the sequence of circles as

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1} &= \frac{8r_0^3 x_n (r_0 + r_n) - 2r_0^2 x_n y_1 y_n + y_1^2 x_n} {2(r_0(y_1 - 2y_n) - r_n y_1 + x_n^2 + y_n^2)} - r_n \left(2r_n x_n y_1^2 + (y_1 - 2y_n) \right) \\
y_{n+1} &= \frac{y_1 + r_0 \sqrt{1 + \frac{4x_{n+1}}{y_1^2 - 4r_0^2}}} {2y_n} \\
r_{n+1} &= \frac{2y_1 y_{n+1} - y_1^2}{4r_0}
\end{align*}
\]

where:

\[
\epsilon = 2\sqrt{r_0^2 y_1^2 (4r_0^2 - y_1^2) (2y_n - y_1)}
\]
Notes:
1. A similar figure is drawn in Phillips [1839], although it is not further elaborated.
2. The conjugate diameters $AB$ and $CD$ are drawn where $AB > CD$. A circle centred on the parallelogram’s centre with diameter $AB$ is drawn. A diameter $EF$ of the circle is drawn such that it is perpendicular to $AB$. The angle $ECF$ is bisected to give the orientation of the ellipse. Its final parameters, the major and minor axes lengths, are calculated as $CE \pm CF$.
3. The very first iron bridge ever built (by Abraham Darby III at Coalbrookdale in 1779) is of fairly similar design but only contains a single inscribed circle.
4. The story goes that William Edwards the builder made three attempts to build the bridge. The first bridge was swept away by a flood shortly after completion. Nearing completion the pressure of the heavy work at the spandrels caused the second to spring up in the middle. This lead to the final and successful result which was the longest single span bridge in the UK for half a century. Not only is this considered by many people to be the most beautiful arch bridge in the UK, but it is of significant engineering interest, and has gathered considerable historical and scientific analysis [Hughes et al. 1998].
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